

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United Sta	les Lateur and Lianemark Office
Address CO	MMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PΛ	Box 1450
1.0.	DOX.1450
Alex	andria, Virginia 22313-1450
www	v.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,503 07/16/2003		Arno Schmuck	07244-00142-US	8515	
23416	7590 02/01/2006 EXAMINER				
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP			PAIK, STEVE S		
P O BOX 220	07	- PERIORE	DA DED MINADED		
WILMINGTO	ON, DE 19899	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2876		
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief	•				

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/620,503	SCHMUCK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Steven S. Paik	2876		

	Steven S. Paik	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final releation wh	ishawaria latar da
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 November 2005</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS)), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>16</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 17-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
The second secon		MAS	
		Steven's. Paik	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2876 **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. The examiner believes the objection made in the final Office Action has not been fully addressed or corrected.